

THE ROLE OF TEXTURE IN SHAPING FORM, EXPRESSION, DRAMATURGY, AND MEANING IN PYOTR ILYICH TCHAIKOVSKY'S AUTUMN SONG (OCTOBER)

Umidakhon Kasimova

Third-Year Student in Musicology, The State Conservatory of
Uzbekistan

Abstract. This article examines the textural organization of Pyotr Ilyich Tchaikovsky's piano piece October: Autumn Song from the cycle The Seasons. Particular attention is paid to the interaction of homophonic, polyphonic, and heterophonic elements, as well as to the role of texture in shaping the form and dramaturgy of the work. The analysis reveals the mobility of the textural structure, the variability of voice functions, and the significance of hidden polyphony in the development of the musical image. It is concluded that texture serves as one of the principal means of artistic expression, contributing to the poetic representation of autumnal moods and emotional experiences.

Keywords: Tchaikovsky, Autumn Song, The Seasons, texture, polyphony, heterophony, homophony, hidden polyphony, musical dramaturgy, piano music, musical form, textural analysis.

Pyotr Ilyich Tchaikovsky's piece October: Autumn Song (No. 10 from the cycle The Seasons) occupies a special place in the composer's oeuvre. In this miniature work, Tchaikovsky successfully combines simplicity of form with depth of inner content, creating an intimate lyrical landscape filled with philosophical reflections on the transience and beauty of autumn.

Despite the apparent simplicity of its musical texture, the piece demonstrates a complex internal organization, particularly in the sphere of texture, which functions not merely as accompaniment but as an active form-generating and dramaturgical element. Through texture, the composer reveals the progression of musical thought and the inner “breathing” of the form.

The work is written in a ternary form with a static reprise and a coda. The first section consists of a period with a varied second phrase, constructed according to the principle of a square, non-repetitive structure from a compositional perspective.

From the standpoint of texture, each phrase demonstrates different types of textural organization, which creates an internal sense of movement even within a relatively stable formal framework.

The textural nucleus of the first section is formed by the opening four measures. These measures establish the foundation for the subsequent development. This nucleus is characterized by a high degree of textural mobility, as frequent and expressive textural changes serve as the impetus for further thematic and structural development.

A discrepancy may be observed between structure and texture: although the form is fundamentally homophonic, the texture is enriched by elements of polyphony and heterophony.

Compositional organization is likewise based on four-measure units, within which the texture develops according to the principle of micro-phases.

First Textural Phase

The first micro-phase begins with a chordal-harmonic texture distinguished by a specific feature: in harmonic terms, the root is doubled, creating a characteristic density of sound. Soon, however, the

melodic line separates from this dense chordal layer, resulting in a textural stratification.

Consequently, the first textural phase consists of two layers:

- the lower layer — supporting and harmonic;
- the upper layer — melodic and leading.

From the perspective of rhythmic organization, the lower layer is characterized by a regular, accent-based rhythm (monorhythmicity), whereas the upper layer exhibits an irregular and expressive rhythmic profile manifested through a time-measuring type of motion.

The interaction of these two layers forms a polyrhythmic complex in which different rhythmic impulses collide, creating internal tension.

An analytical examination of this passage reveals that, despite its chordal texture, each voice performs an independent function:

- the lower voice acts as a pedal point;
- the tenor and alto voices demonstrate variant motion;
- the melodic line contains elements of hidden polyphony.

Thus, we are dealing with a chordal texture enriched by heterophonic elements.

Second Textural Phase

In this compositional segment, a redistribution of voice functions occurs—a technique characteristic of polyphonic development. Although the texture retains its heterophonic nature, its internal connections become more mobile: the voices enter into imitative relationships, thereby intensifying the developmental process.

The subsequent layer represents an imitation of the first textural phase, as evidenced by the displacement of voices and the alteration of intervallic supports. Whereas the lower voice previously articulated a perfect fifth (D–A), it now presents a perfect fourth (A–D).

Thus, the textural nucleus proves to be heterogeneous and flexible, capable of undergoing internal transformations.

It may therefore be concluded that the textural nucleus of the piece contains a multidimensional structure in which elements of homophony, polyphony, and heterophony interact.

As a result, texture becomes a mobile system in which motion and development occur not only on the thematic level but also through internal transformations of the textural layers.

It is precisely this internal textural dynamism that forms the basis of the expressive power and dramatic tension of the first section.

The following textural phase, encompassing four measures (mm. 5–8), is characterized by a distinctly homophonic-polyphonic texture. At this stage, the analysis is most effectively conducted through the examination of smaller compositional units within which subtle changes in textural layers and rhythmic organization take place.

In the melodic line of this phase (mm. 5–8), the composer employs the technique of hidden polyphony.

The principal melodic line is effectively divided into two implied voices:

- one voice sustains the repeated pitch A, functioning as a stable supporting tone;
- the other voice forms an ascending motion toward this tone, creating the impression of a second, independent layer.

The superimposition of these layers generates a particular internal tension in which the static nature of one voice is contrasted with the directed movement of the other.

The upper voice demonstrates an ascending-descending contour, while the descending phase essentially represents a free imitation of the ascending motion.

In the accompaniment, rhythmic differentiation can be observed through the combination of regular motion and syncopated accents. As a result, the texture becomes more flexible and “breathing,” imparting greater fluidity and internal movement to the melody.

Furthermore, this passage reveals a two-layered textural organization:

- the first layer (mm. 5–6) features a descending motion from G to D;
- the second layer (mm. 7–8) centers on the motion G–A.

This alternation of layers reflects Tchaikovsky’s polyphonic mode of thinking, characterized by gradual development from within the textural structure itself, where each voice, while remaining part of the overall harmonic whole, contributes an independent semantic and expressive function.

Thus, in measures 5–8, the texture becomes increasingly complex. Despite the apparent simplicity of the musical surface, the composer introduces a multidimensional organization of voices by alternating elements of homophony and polyphony. This creates a distinctive internal dynamism in which every voice participates in shaping the overall dramatic progression.

We now turn to the second period of the piece. This textural phase also constitutes a four-measure structure (mm. 9–12). From a structural perspective, the period exhibits a square, non-repetitive design and develops primarily according to the homophonic principle. However, in comparison with the first period, it demonstrates a more varied type of

development, with texture serving as the principal means of transformation.

In terms of textural organization, the second period displays a greater degree of polyphonization. Particularly evident here is the variability of voice functions.

The principal theme is transferred to the tenor voice, where it appears in imitation, while the upper voice assumes a contrapuntal function. By its nature, this voice does not merely accompany the theme but actively interacts with it, creating an independent melodic layer. Such a relationship between the voices lends the phrase an internal dialogic quality and enhanced relief.

Polyrhythm emerges between the voices through the combination of different rhythmic patterns, reinforcing the impression of their independence and equality. At the same time, the contrapuntal upper voice, despite possessing its own expressive significance, remains subordinate to the tenor voice, since the latter carries the principal thematic function.

The second period represents an example of a polyphonically organized texture within the framework of an overall homophonic form. Here, Tchaikovsky demonstrates a profound understanding of voice interaction, where each element of the musical fabric is endowed with its own expressive value. As a result, the texture becomes flexible and multidimensional, capable of combining structural clarity with internal thematic development.

This is followed by a two-measure textural phase (mm. 13–14) characterized by a heterophonic-polyphonic type of texture. The active interaction of voices continues, with each retaining a relative degree of independence.

In the following two measures (mm. 15–16), the texture acquires a more homophonic-polyphonic character.

Particular attention should be paid to the discrepancy between the textural and compositional phases. While the compositional phase logically concludes in measure 16, the textural development continues uninterrupted beyond the structural boundary. As a result, a sense of continuity and seamless musical flow is created, which is a characteristic feature of polyphonic form-building.

The second section of the piece represents the culmination of its polyphonic development. Here, the texture becomes especially saturated with polyphonic devices, and the musical fabric acquires a more complex spatial organization. As early as measure 17, manifestations of multi-thematic polyphony and the use of double counterpoint can be observed: the voices exchange positions in comparison with the corresponding elements of the first section, creating an impression of mirror reflection and dynamic balance.

It should be emphasized that this episode does not contain a purely polyphonic texture. Elements of heterophonic and homophonic organization permeate the polyphonic fabric. Particularly characteristic is the principle of horizontal variation, manifested through melodic deviations and modifications of intonational patterns. This technique can be traced back to the traditions of folk polyphony.

In the closing measures of the second section, a transition to monophonic presentation occurs. At first glance, this may appear to be a simplification of texture; however, in reality, it reveals a phenomenon of sub-textural organization—the presence of hidden polyphony within a single melodic line. One can perceive internal imitative connections, as though the previous dialogue continues, but is transferred into the

interior of a single voice. Thus, Tchaikovsky's polyphonic thinking is preserved even within a monophonic context.

This textural phase possesses a fundamentally new character that has not previously appeared in the work. The melody acquires a particular rhythmic vitality, enriched by syncopations and displaced accents. This compositional segment functions as a kind of release between the second section and the reprise—a moment of temporary repose necessary for the perception of the subsequent return of the theme. At the same time, the transition occurs without clearly defined boundaries: the connecting passage flows organically into the reprise, reinforcing the impression of uninterrupted polyphonic development characteristic of the entire piece.

The static reprise, functioning as a reminiscence reprise, represents not merely a return to the original material but a meaningful recollection endowed with psychological significance. No active development takes place here; on the contrary, time seems to come to a standstill. The reprise is perceived as a glance into the past, a remembrance of lived experience, intensifying the overall sense of completion and quiet melancholy.

The first phrase introduces the atmosphere of an autumn evening: lamenting intonations permeate the music with melancholy and gentle contemplation. A mood of remembrance and subtle sadness emerges, preparing the listener for the protagonist's subsequent inner experiences.

With the second phrase, an internal monologue begins, as if the protagonist enters into dialogue with himself. This develops into an exchange of intonations and a series of musical responses reminiscent of an inner debate or reflection. Within the texture of the reprise, one can distinguish various types of dialogue, ranging from calm and

contemplative exchanges to more tense interactions structured as questions and answers.

Thus, the reprise fulfills a dramatic function: it does not simply repeat the material of the exposition but reinterprets it, transforming it into a memory of what has passed. For this reason, the composer resorts to a static reprise—as a form capable of expressing the suspension of time, the awareness of lived experience, and the emotional summation of the protagonist's inner journey.

The coda functions as a continuation of the reprise and as the logical conclusion of the entire dramatic process. It preserves a polyphonic type of texture in which the voices continue their independent development, creating the effect of an ongoing internal dialogue. Harmonic devices such as the sustained tonic and the use of the subdominant six-four chord (S64) impart to the music a sense of expectation and incompleteness.

The coda possesses a distinctly subjective character; it is filled with questions and inner reflections that remain unanswered. This is not an external conclusion but rather an internal summation—a moment of quiet contemplation and introspection.

In Pyotr Ilyich Tchaikovsky's *Autumn Song (October)*, texture performs formative, expressive, compositional-dramaturgical, and semantic functions. Through texture, the composer conveys the development of the musical image, reveals the inner movement of emotions, and creates the poetics of an autumnal mood, uniting form, content, and emotional meaning into an organic whole.

References

1. Asafyev, B. V. *On Tchaikovsky's Music*. Leningrad: Muzyka, 1972.
2. Alshvang, A. A. *Pyotr Ilyich Tchaikovsky*. Moscow: Muzyka, 1970.
3. Kholopova, V. N. *Musical Texture*. Moscow: Kompozitor, 2009.

4. Nazaykinsky, E. V. *The Logic of Musical Composition*. Moscow: Muzyka, 1982.
5. Tyulin, Yu. N. *The Theory of Musical Texture and Melodic Figuration*. Moscow: Muzyka, 1977.
6. Tchaikovsky, P. I. *The Seasons*, Op. 37bis for Piano. Moscow: Muzyka, 2020.
7. Brown, D. *Tchaikovsky: The Years of Wandering, 1878–1885*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1983.