

A DIACHRONIC STUDY OF ORIENTATIONAL METAPHORS

Yoqubova Mohistara Ibrohimjon qizi

University of Exact and Social Sciences

Abstract. This article explores the diachronic development of orientational metaphors within the framework of cognitive linguistics. It examines how spatial orientations, including up–down, forward–backward, and center–periphery, are used to conceptualize abstract experiences across different historical periods. Particular attention is given to semantic change, embodied cognition, and the influence of sociocultural contexts on metaphorical expressions. The study highlights the importance of historical textual analysis, corpus-based investigation, and contextual interpretation in identifying continuity and transformation in metaphorical meanings.

Keywords: orientational metaphors, diachronic study, cognitive linguistics, conceptual metaphor theory, semantic change, spatial orientation, embodied cognition, historical linguistics, metaphorical expressions, cultural development.

Introduction

Metaphor plays a fundamental role in human cognition, enabling individuals to understand abstract concepts through concrete experiences. In cognitive linguistics, metaphor is considered not merely a rhetorical device but a conceptual mechanism that influences thought, perception, and linguistic expression. One of the influential approaches to metaphor is Conceptual Metaphor Theory, introduced by George Lakoff and Mark Johnson in their seminal work *Metaphors We Live By*.

This theory emphasizes the relationship between bodily experience and the conceptualization of abstract domains.

Orientational metaphors constitute a significant category of conceptual metaphors because they organize abstract experiences through spatial relationships, including up–down, forward–backward, and inside–outside. Expressions such as “feeling down,” “high spirits,” and “looking forward to the future” demonstrate how spatial orientation shapes the linguistic representation of emotions, evaluation, and time. The diachronic investigation of orientational metaphors provides an opportunity to explore how these conceptual patterns emerge, develop, and change throughout different historical periods. Although some metaphorical associations remain relatively stable, others may be influenced by cultural transformations, social structures, and changes in language use.

Main Part

Orientational metaphors occupy an important position in cognitive linguistics because they demonstrate how human beings organize abstract concepts through spatial experience. Unlike structural metaphors, which conceptualize one domain in terms of another complex domain, orientational metaphors establish relationships between concepts and spatial orientations. These relationships are commonly represented through such oppositions as UP–DOWN, FORWARD–BACKWARD, IN–OUT, and CENTER–PERIPHERY. According to Lakoff and Johnson, these metaphorical structures are grounded in bodily experience and help individuals interpret emotions, social relationships, time, quantity, and personal development [1]. Nevertheless, their meanings and communicative functions may evolve

throughout history, making them valuable objects of diachronic linguistic investigation.

One of the most frequently discussed patterns is the association between vertical orientation and emotional or evaluative states. In contemporary English, expressions such as “feeling up,” “high spirits,” and “falling into depression” illustrate the conceptual relationship between vertical position and emotional experience. The UP orientation is often associated with happiness, vitality, success, and positive evaluation, whereas DOWN frequently represents sadness, failure, or deterioration. These associations can be explained partly through embodied experience: an upright posture may accompany positive emotional states, while a lowered posture may indicate exhaustion or sadness [2]. However, a diachronic approach requires researchers to investigate whether these associations were used in comparable ways in earlier periods and how their meanings developed within particular historical and cultural contexts.

The relationship between vertical orientation and social hierarchy provides another important direction for historical analysis. Expressions such as “higher rank,” “rising to power,” and “lower social status” conceptualize social position through vertical space. Such expressions can be examined in historical documents, political speeches, literary works, and administrative records to determine how language represents authority, inequality, and social mobility. The metaphorical association between HIGH and POWERFUL may remain recognizable across different periods, while the expressions used to describe status and authority may change according to transformations in political institutions and social organization. Consequently, the historical study

of these expressions can reveal both the continuity of conceptual structures and changes in their cultural interpretation [3].

Temporal orientation also represents a significant category of orientational metaphor. English expressions such as “looking forward to the future,” “moving ahead,” and “looking back on the past” conceptualize time through movement and spatial direction. These expressions suggest that the future is positioned ahead of the observer, whereas the past is located behind. From a diachronic perspective, researchers can investigate how such expressions developed, became conventionalized, and acquired specific pragmatic functions. They can also examine whether the representation of time through spatial movement varies across historical genres and communicative situations [4]. Such analysis is particularly relevant because temporal metaphors influence how speakers describe progress, historical change, expectations, and collective memory.

The CENTER–PERIPHERY opposition offers another productive perspective on metaphorical development. The concept of the center may represent importance, authority, or social influence, while the periphery may symbolize marginality or reduced significance. Expressions such as “the center of attention” and “at the margins of society” demonstrate how spatial organization structures abstract social relationships. Historical analysis of these expressions may reveal how metaphorical language reflects changing perceptions of social belonging, political power, and cultural identity. However, researchers should distinguish conventional metaphorical meanings from literal geographical references, particularly when examining historical texts in which spatial terminology frequently describes actual locations [5].

A reliable diachronic investigation requires an appropriate methodological framework. Historical textual analysis can be combined with corpus linguistics, semantic analysis, and contextual interpretation. Researchers may select texts from different historical periods and identify expressions associated with particular spatial orientations. These expressions can then be classified according to their conceptual meanings, grammatical structures, frequencies, and communicative functions. Historical dictionaries and etymological resources may provide additional evidence concerning the development of individual words and expressions. Nevertheless, the historical origin of a word does not automatically establish the origin of its metaphorical meaning; this distinction must be supported by dated textual evidence [6].

Corpus-based research is especially useful for identifying patterns of continuity and semantic change. By comparing the frequency and distribution of metaphorical expressions across historical corpora, researchers can determine whether particular constructions become more common, less frequent, or associated with new contexts. For example, the metaphorical use of “rise” may describe physical movement in one context and increasing status, popularity, or economic value in another. Examining these uses across different periods may demonstrate how a concrete spatial verb acquires broader abstract meanings. However, frequency alone cannot establish conceptual change. Qualitative analysis is necessary to determine whether an expression is used metaphorically and whether its contextual meaning differs from earlier usage [7].

The cultural dimension of orientational metaphors must also be considered. Although bodily experience provides a foundation for many spatial associations, metaphorical meanings are not entirely universal.

Cultural traditions, religious beliefs, literary conventions, and political ideologies may influence how particular orientations are interpreted. For this reason, researchers should avoid assuming that every language or historical community associates UP with positive evaluation and DOWN with negative evaluation in exactly the same manner. Cross-cultural comparison can help identify both shared embodied patterns and culturally specific conceptualizations. Such comparison is particularly valuable when investigating historical changes in the relationship between language and collective values.

Despite their analytical potential, diachronic studies of orientational metaphors face several challenges. Historical corpora may differ in size, genre, authorship, and representativeness, while spelling and grammatical conventions may complicate searches for older expressions. Furthermore, metaphorical meanings can be highly dependent on context, and the same expression may have literal and figurative interpretations. Researchers should therefore combine quantitative evidence with close reading and clearly explain their selection criteria. Through this balanced approach, orientational metaphors can be investigated as historically situated linguistic phenomena that reflect the interaction of embodied cognition, semantic development, and cultural transformation.

Conclusion

The diachronic study of orientational metaphors provides significant insights into the historical relationship between language, human cognition, and cultural development. By examining spatial oppositions such as UP–DOWN, FORWARD–BACKWARD, and CENTER–PERIPHERY, researchers can identify how abstract concepts are conceptualized through embodied experiences and how these conceptual

patterns develop across historical periods. Orientational metaphors demonstrate that spatial relationships play an important role in expressing emotions, social hierarchies, temporal perspectives, and cultural values.

The analysis also highlights that metaphorical meanings are not entirely fixed or universal. Although certain conceptual associations demonstrate continuity, their linguistic expressions and cultural interpretations may change in response to historical and social transformations. Therefore, investigating orientational metaphors requires a combination of historical textual analysis, corpus-based methods, and contextual interpretation.

References

1. Lakoff G., Johnson M. *Metaphors We Live By*. — Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980. — 242 p.
2. Lakoff G. *Women, Fire, and Dangerous Things: What Categories Reveal about the Mind*. — Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1987. — 614 p.
3. Kovecses Z. *Metaphor and Emotion: Language, Culture, and Body in Human Feeling*. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000. — 224 p.
4. Kovecses Z. *Metaphor: A Practical Introduction*. 2nd ed. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010. — 375 p.
5. Geeraerts D. *Theories of Lexical Semantics*. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010. — 341 p.
6. Traugott E. C., Dasher R. B. *Regularity in Semantic Change*. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002. — 341 p.

7. Sweetser E. From Etymology to Pragmatics: Metaphorical and Cultural Aspects of Semantic Structure. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990. — 174 p.